

933

No. 5325-4 Lab-75/17991.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Postal and R.M.S. Employees Co-operative Bank, Ltd., Ambala Cantt.

**BEFORE SHRI O.P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK**

Reference No. 44 of 1974

*Between*

Miss. Pushpa Sharma, and the management of Postal and R.M.S. Employees Co-operative Bank, Ltd., Ambala Cantt.

*Present :*

Miss Pushpa Sharma worker concerned.  
Shri R.L. Gupta for the management.

**AWARD**

Miss Pushpa Sharma was in the service of the Potal and R.M.S. Employees Co-operative Bank Ltd., Ambala Cantt. The following dispute between her and the management was referred for adjudication to this court by order No. ID/RK/301-C-73/18677-81, dated the 4th July, 1974, of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Miss Pushpa Sharma was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is she entitled ?”

The parties have put in their respective pleadings. It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case as an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties. The statements have been recorded.

According to the settlement, the management has agreed to pay to the worker concerned Rs 500 within 15 days, in full and final settlement of her entire claims and she has given up her right of reinstatement or re-employment. The award is accordingly made in terms of the above settlement arrived at between the parties and the management is directed to pay Rs 500 to Miss Pushpa Sharma worker concerned within 15 days in full and final settlement of her entire claims including the right of reinstatement or re-employment as agreed. In the circumstances, there shall be no order as to costs.

Date: the 20th May, 1975

O. P. SHARMA.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

—  
No. 1382, dated 26th May, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour & Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA.

Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 5328-4Lab-75/17993.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Lakshmi Theatre, Yamuna Nagar.

934

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 78 of 1975

*between*

THE WORKMEN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S LAKSHMI THEATRE,  
YAMUNA NAGAR

*Present* ; Shri Jagdish Sahey for the workmen.

Shri Subhash Chander for the management.

**AWARD**

By order No. ID/AMB/327-A-75/20862 dated 8th April, 1975, of the Governor of Haryana, the following dispute between the management of M/s Lakshmi Theatre, Yamuna Nagar and its workmen were referred for adjudication to this Tribunal, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

- (1) Whether the workers should be granted bonus for the year 1973-74 ? If so, with what details ?
- (2) Whether the workers should be given seasonal uniforms ? If so, with what details ?

The parties have arrived at an amicable settlement outside the Court with regard to demand No.. Their statements have been recorded. According to the settlement, all the workmen concerned have been paid bonus for the year 1973-74 to their satisfaction.

In view of the above, no further proceedings are called for with regard to this demand and an interim award is accordingly given holding that the workman concerned having received payment of Bonus for the year 1973-74 to their satisfaction are not entitled to any other relief with regard to this demand.

The statement of claim with regard to second demand regarding supply of Seasonal Uniforms has been filed today and copy supplied to the management. A suggestion for amicable settlement with regard to this demand has also been made and after consulting the other Cinemas in the locality this demand may also be satisfied by the management and the parties have been advised to enter into negotiation for the same. The case shall, however come up for further proceedings on 16th July, 1975 at Ambala Cantt for recording the settlement if arrived at failing which the management shall file the written statement.

Dated the 23rd May, 1975

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 852, dated the 26th May, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 26th May, 1975

O. P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

**No. 5324-4Lab-75/17995.**—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Bawa Dasonda Singh, Waryam Singh/Saw Mills, Yamuna Nagar.

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 20 of 1974

*between*

Shi Ram Singh and the management of M/s Bawa Dasonda Singh Waryam Singh Saw Mills, Yamuna Nagar

Present :—

Shri Amrik Singh for the management.  
Nemo for the workman.

### AWARD

Shri Ram Singh workman concerned was in the service of M/s Bawa Dasonda Singh-Waryam Singh Saw Mills, Yamuna Nagar. The following dispute between him and the management was referred for adjudication to this court,—vide order No. ID/AMB/310-A-73/5764-67, dated 15th March, 1974 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 :—

“Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?”.

On receipt of the order of reference, usual notices were given to the parties and they put in their respective pleadings. It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case, as an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties, as per terms and conditions given in the memorandum of settlement. Statement of Shri Amrik Singh, partner of the respondent concern has been recorded. He has sworn testimony to the fact that Shri Ram Singh workman concerned has received payment of Rs 400, in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management giving up his right of reinstatement or re-employment, as per the receipt Exhibit M. 1 which is signed by him and attested by the witness. There is apparently no reason to disbelieve the above plea of settlement raised by the management, especially when the workman concerned is not coming forward in person or through any authorised representative to pursue his claim.

A no-dispute award, is therefore, given in terms of the above settlement arrived at between the parties holding that the workman concerned is not entitled to any other relief. In the circumstances there shall be no order as to costs.

Dated 22nd May, 1975.

O.P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 1381, dated the 26th May, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O.P. SHARMA,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 5516-4Lab-75/18071.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Usha Spinning and Weaving Mills, Ltd., Faridabad :—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA,  
ROHTAK

Reference No. 6 of 1972

between

SHRI BRIJ NANDAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S USHA SPINNING AND  
WEAVING MILLS LTD., FARIDABAD

Present.—

Nemo for the workman.

Nemo for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Brij Nandan workman concernd was in the service of M/s Usha Spinning & Weaving Mills Ltd., Faridabad. The following dispute between him and the management was referred for adjudication to this court,—*vide* order No. 1D/FD/167-71/335, dated 5th January, 1972 of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947;—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Brij Nandan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

The parties putin their respective pleadings and the only issue that arose for determination in the case was as per the term of reference stated above.

No evidence has been ledon either side. On the joint request of the parties, the case was adjourned for settlement. The management had made an offer to take the workman back on duty with continuity of his previous service or in the alternative to pay to him Rs. 900 as compensation without any right of reinstatement or re-employment. The authorised representative of the workman who had himself no objection to this offer of settlement of the dispute, however, wanted to consult the workman and the case was fixed for the recording of the settlement.

Neither party has appeared nor has any request for adjournment of the case has been received. The presumption is that the parties have settled the dispute in accordance with the offer made by the management on the last date. A no-dispute award is, therefore, made but without any order as to costs.

O. P. SHARMA,

Dated 27th May, 1975.

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No: 1408, dated the 2nd June, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government of Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Haryana,  
Rohtak.

No. 5330-4Lab-75/18073.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Sidhwal Refrigeration Industries Private Limited, Plot No. 23, Sector-6, Faridabad:—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA,  
FARIDABAD

Reference No. 15 of 1975

between

SHRI AMAR SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S SIDHWAL  
REFRIGRATION INDUSTRIES PRIVATE LIMITED, PLOT NO. 23, SECTOR-6; FARIDABAD

Present:—

Shri Bhim Singh Yadav for the workman.

Shri R. C. Sharma, for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Amar Singh was in the service of M/s Sidhwali Refrigeration Industries Private Limited, Plot No. 23, Sector 6, Faridabad. The following dispute between him and the management was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal by order No. ID/FD/74/1217/2189 dated 14th January, 1975, of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the termination of services of Shri Amar Singh was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The parties were called upon to put in their respective pleadings. They have arrived at an amicable settlement. Statements have been recorded. It has been stated on both sides that the settlement between the parties was arrived at before the Labour-cum-Conciliation Officer (Authority Under the Payment of Wages Act) and the workman was paid his full dues and he had given up his right of reinstatement or re-employment.

In view of the above, no further proceedings are called for and a no dispute award is given as per the terms of the settlement arrived at between the parties holding that Shri Amar Singh workman concerned is not entitled to any other relief. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Dated the 26th May, 1975.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,

Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

No. 853, dated the 26th May, 19

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad.

Dated the 26th May, 1975.

No. 5329-4 Lab-75/18075.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Jai Hind Metal Industries, Jagadhri :—

BEFORE SHRI O. P. SHARMA, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL,  
HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 54 of 1973

Between

SHRI SURJIT SINGH WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S JAI HIND  
METAL INDUSTRIES, JAGADHRI

Present.—

Shri Surjit Singh Workman concerned with Shri Madhu Sudan Saran Cowshish authorised representative Shri Subhash Chander for the management.

## AWARD

Shri Surjit Singh was in the service of M/s Jai Hind Metal Industries, Jagadhri as a Latheman. The following dispute between him and the management was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal, vide order No. ID/UMB-A-72/14923, dated 23rd April, 1973, of the Governor of Haryana, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Whether the retrenchment of Shri Surjit Singh Latheman was justified and in order ? If not, to what relief is he entitled ?

The parties put in their respective pleadings giving rise to the only issue as per the term of reference stated above. No evidence has yet been led on either side.

It is, however, not necessary to go into the merits of the case and the issues involved as an amicable settlement has been arrived at between the parties, as per the terms and conditions given in the memorandum of settlement dated 26th April, 1975, which is signed by the workman concerned Shri Surjit Singh and his authorised representative Shri Madhu Sudan Saran Cowshish through whom the demand notice leading to the reference was given. Statements have been recosded.

According to the settlement the workman concerned has been paid Rs 550/-, in full and final settlement of his entire claims against the management and he has given up his right of reinstatement or re-employment. The award is accordingly made in terms of the above settlement holding that the workman concerned is not entitled to any other relief. There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Dated the 24th May, 1975.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad

No. 851, dated 26th May, 1975

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

Dated the 26th May, 1975.

O. P. SHARMA,

Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,  
Faridabad

M. SETH, Commissioner & Secretary.

#### HEALTH DEPARTMENT

The 13th June, 1975

No. 3416-5HBII-75/17816.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the State of Haryana is threatened with an out-break of dangerous epidemic disease, namely, Malaria and the ordinary provisions of the law for the time being in force are insufficient for the purpose. Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 2 of the Epidemic Disease Act, 1897, the Governor of Haryana hereby makes the following regulations, namely :—

1. These regulations may be called the Haryana Epidemic Diseases (Malaria) Regulation, 1975.
2. In these regulations unless the context otherwise requires :—
  - (a) "Epidemic Disease" means Malaria.
  - (b) "Passive Surveillance Centre" means any place which may be declared by the Deputy Commissioner concerned in exercise of the powers conferred upon him to be a passive Surveillance Centre.
  - (c) "Inspecting Officer" means a person appointed by the Director, Health Services or the Chief Medical Officer concerned to be an Inspecting Officer.
3. An Inspecting Officer who is unavoidably prevented from discharging all or any of the functions, may be order in written appoint any Medical Officer, Assistant Unit Officer, Health Supervisor (Malaria), Senior Malaria Inspector, Senior Sanitary Inspector, Malaria Inspector/Health Inspector/ Surveillance Inspector/Sanitary Inspector, Basic Health Workers, Surveillance Worker to discharge such functions and every official so appointed shall so far as such functions are concerned, be deemed for the purpose of these regulations to be an Inspecting Officer.
4. An inspecting officer may enter any premises, for the purpose of fever surveillance, treatment or spray. He may also authorise other persons of his team to enter such premises along with him, as he considers necessary.

939

5. An Inspecting Officer may put to any person any question he thinks fit, in order to ascertain whether there is any reason to believe or suspect that such person is or may be suffering from Malaria and such person shall give answer truly to question so put to him.

Where as a result of such Inspection or examination or otherwise the Inspecting Officer considers that there is reason to believe or suspect that such person is or may be infected with Malaria the Inspecting Officer may direct such person to give his blood slide for examination and to take such treatment as the Inspecting officer may consider necessary. In case of a minor, such order shall be directed to the guardian or any other adult member of the Family of the minor.

7. The inspecting officer may order any premises to be sprayed with insecticides.

These regulations shall come into force at once and shall remain in force upto 31st December, 1975.

M. SETH,

Commissioner for Health and Secretary.

**PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT**  
**TECHNICAL EDUCATION**  
**BUILDING AND ROADS BRANCH**

4th June, 1975  
 The \_\_\_\_\_  
 17th June, 1975

**No. 2966-PWIV(5)-75/18962.**—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to re-appoint, with the approval of the Central Government, Shri S. K. Datta, Vice Chancellor, Kurukshetra University, as Chairman of the Board of Governors, Regional Engineering College, Kurukshetra, with effect from 27th March, 1975, for a period of five years.

The 10th June, 1975

**No. 4274-PWIII(5)-75/19722.**—On the successful completion of his training, Shri Mangal Singh Verma, Assistant Engineer (Civil), Under Training has been appointed as Sub-Divisional Engineer, Construction Sub-Division, Chachrauli (Ambala District) with effect from 20th January, 1972 in the scale of pay of Rs. 400—30—700/40—1100.

The 16th June, 1975

**No. 5429-PWIII (5)-75/20140.**—The Governor of Haryana is pleased to declare the following Assistant Engineers/Sub-Divisional Engineers (Civil) successful in the Departmental Professional Examination held from the 10th December, 1974 to the 12th December, 1974:—

Sarvshri—

1. S. S. Dhayia.
2. S. S. Goel
3. D. D. Goel.
4. Kapoor Singh.
5. Jainti Parshad.
6. S. P. Gupta.
7. Chand Ram.
8. R. K. Gaur.
9. S. S. Virk.
10. G. D. Bansal.

11. R. K. Duggal.
12. M. L. Pahuja.
13. S. C. Verma.
14. Krishan Kumar Aggarwal.
15. K. D. Singh.
16. G. L. Dhawn.
17. J. K. Jain.
18. V. K. Gandhi.
19. J. L. Kawatra.
20. R. K. Mittal.
21. I. S. Goel.
22. J. K. Rattana.
23. B. S. Kochhar.
24. A. S. Parmar.
25. S. C. Jain.

Qualified in electrical and Mechanical paper  
in which they were placed in compartment  
in the examination held on  
13th to 15th May, 1975

2. The Governor of Haryana is also pleased to grant exemption to the following Assistant Engineers/Sub-Divisional Engineers (Civil) in the papers noted against their names as they have obtained more than 60 per cent marks in these papers in the Departmental Professional Examination held on the 10th to the 12th December, 1974:—

Sarvshri—

1. K. C. Arora.	Accounts and office procedure/Water Supply and Drainage/Simple Elect./Mech. Engineering/General Oral.
2. K. K. Bhugra.	Ditto
3. S. S. Goel.	Ditto
4. B. L. Kansal.	Ditto
5. S. K. Aneja.	Ditto
6. V. K. Bahl.	Ditto
7. G. K. Sethi.	Ditto
8. R. P. Bansal.	Water Supply and Drainage/Simple Elect./Mech. Engineering/General Oral.
9. C. B. Panwar.	Ditto
10. Ram Pal Singh.	Ditto
11. Bharat Singh.	Ditto
12. P. C. Bansal.	Ditto
13. Mangal Singh Verma.	Ditto
14. H. L. Pathak.	Ditto
15. B. N. Ahuja.	Accounts and Office Procedure/Water Supply and Drainage/General Oral.
16. R. S. Saran	Water Supply and Drainage/General Oral.

17. J. S. Rangray.	Water Supply and Drainage/General Oral.
18. R. N. Kaushik.	Ditto
19. P. C. Malik.	Ditto
20. H. N. Chutani.	Ditto
21. Dharan Vir Gaur.	Ditto
22. Sis Ram Sharma.	Ditto
23. V. K. Sethi.	Ditto
24. B. S. S. Bhalla.	Ditto

The 18th June, 1975

**No. 10732-PW3(6)-74/19848.**—In pursuance of the provisions of rule 8 (9) of Punjab Service of Engineers Class I, Public Works Department (B. & R.) Branch, Rules, 1960, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to declare in consultation with the Haryana Public Service Commission, the undernoted officers suitable for appointment to the Haryana Service of Engineers, Glass I (Senior) in Public Works Department, B. & R. Branch, (Civil), from the dates shown against their names:—

(i) Shri Sansar Chand Korpal	12th June, 1972
(ii) Shri D. K. Chadha	3rd July, 1972

These orders are without prejudice to the claim of the senior officers pending with the Commission for consideration and their inter-se-seniority will be determined later on.

S. N. BHANOT, Commissioner and Secy.

लोक निर्माण विभाग

सिचाई शाखा, हरियाणा,

मुख्य कार्यालय, चन्दीगढ़

दिनांक 16 जून, 1975

क्रमांक 4735/प्रशासन/सब/854/47. —श्री राम प्रकाश चोपड़ा, अधीक्षक, सिचाई विभाग, हरियाणा, चन्दीगढ़, दिनांक 16 जून, 1975 अपराह्न को निवर्तन आयु को प्राप्त होने पर सरकारी सेवा से निवृत हो गये हैं।

ए० डी० चौधरी,

मुख्य इन्जीनियर,  
सिचाई विभाग, हरियाणा, चन्दीगढ़।

#### IRRIGATION DEPARTMENT

The 10th June, 1975

**No. 8501.**—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is satisfied that the land specified below is needed urgently by the Government, at public expense, namely, for constructing store opposite R.D. 60500 Left Jui Canal in village, Chandawas tehsil Bhiwani, district Bhiwani, for which a notification has been issued under sub-section (4) of section 17 read with clause (c) of sub-section (2) of section 17 of the said Act and published, —*vide* Haryana Government notification No. 9493/2L on dated 13th June, 1974 in Haryana Government Gazette, Part I, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required urgently for the above purpose.

This declaration is made under the provision of section 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 or the information of all to whom it may concern.

The plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department Irrigation Department, Ambala and the Executive Engineer, Jui Canal Division, Bhiwani.

### SPECIFICATION

District	Tehsil	Village	Area in Acres	Hadbast No.	Boundary
Bhiwani	Bhiwani	Chandawas	0.62	144	A plot of land falling in Khasra number 763 opposite R.D. 60500-Left Jui Canal as demarcated at site and shown on the plan.

(Sd.) . . . ,

Superintending Engineer,  
Indira Gandhi Canal Circle,  
Rohtak.

### PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

#### PUBLIC HEALTH BRANCH

The 11th June, 1975

No. 8563.—Whereas it appears to the Governor of Haryana that land is likely to be needed by the Government at public expense for a public purpose, namely, for Providing Water Supply Scheme Kherla Abhapur Group of villages Tehsil & District Gurgaon, it is hereby notified that the land described in the specification below is required for the above purpose.

This notification is made under the provisions of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the aforesaid section, the Governor of Haryana, is pleased to authorise the officers, for the time being engaged in the undertaking with their servants, and workmen to enter upon and survey any land in the locality and do all other acts required or permitted by that section.

Any person interested in the above land, who has any objection to the acquisition thereof, may within thirty days after the date on which public notice of the substance of this notification given in the locality, file an objection in writing before the Land Acquisition Collector, Public Works Department Building and Roads Branch, Ambala Cantt.

### SPECIFICATIONS

District	Tehsil	Locality Village	Had bast No.	Area in acres	Khasra No.	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Gurgaon	Gurgaon	Abhapur	173	1.8125	35	11, 19, 20, 21, 3, 1, 1, 1

O. K. SHARMA,

Superintending Engineer,  
Rohtak Public Health Circle,  
Haryana P. W. D. Rohtak,